	7.) The populations of Michigan and Arizona between the years of (960 and 1990 can be modeled by the following functions, where r is the number of years since 1960, and the units of the population is in millions.
F	Michigan : $f(t) = 7.8(1.0058)^3$; Arizona : $g(t) = 1.3(1.035)^3$
	(a) (3 pts) [No sentence necessary.] Over the 30 year period, what was the annual percent growth rate for the population of Arizona?
	How much greater was that than the corresponding rate for Michigan?
	-0.58 = (2.92%)
	(b) (2 pts) What was the difference in the two populations in 1960? [No sentence needed.]
	(c) (4 ms) Who two states = 6,5 million google
	(c) (4 pts) If the two states continue to grow according to the patterns given above, will there be a time when the population of Arizona will surpass that of Michigan? If not, explain (mathematically) why not. If so,
P.	by the year. [Show your work and express your answer in sentence form.]
)	18 (1.0058) = 1.3 (1.035) = la (73) + 4 (2)6
	1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t > la (\frac{7.5}{1.3}) t = t & 63.6 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t > la (\frac{7.5}{1.3}) t = t & 63.6 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t la (\frac{7.5}{1.0050}) t = t & 63.6 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t la (\frac{7.5}{1.0050}) t = t & 63.6 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t la (\frac{7.5}{1.0050}) t = t & 63.6 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t la (\frac{7.5}{1.0050}) t = t & 63.6 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t la (\frac{7.5}{1.0050}) t = t & 63.6 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t la (\frac{7.5}{1.0050}) t = t & 63.6 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t la (\frac{7.5}{1.0050}) t = t & 63.6 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t la (\frac{7.5}{1.0050}) t = t & 63.6 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t la (\frac{7.5}{1.0050}) t = t & 63.6 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t la (\frac{7.5}{1.0050}) t = t & 63.6 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t la (\frac{7.5}{1.0050}) t = t & 63.6 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t la (\frac{7.5}{1.0050}) t = t & 63.6 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t la (\frac{7.5}{1.0050}) t = t & 63.6 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t la (\frac{7.5}{1.0050}) t = t & 63.6 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t la (\frac{7.5}{1.0050}) t = t & 63.6 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t la (\frac{7.5}{1.0050}) t = t & 63.6 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t = 1.3 (1.035) t = 1.0 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t = 1.0 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t = 1.0 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t = 1.0 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t = 1.0 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.3 (1.035) t = 1.0 1.8 (1.0058) t = 1.0 1.
	2022.6
/	they in the year Das the gopulation by
(Wriging would surprish growth of Thicker.
	(d) (2 pts) How many people would the model predict for the population of Michigan in the 2000 consum?
	[No sentence necessary—show work.]
	7.8 (1.0058)49. 9.83 millou pargle
	110 (10010)
	(e) (2 pts) Interpret, in the context of this problem, the meaning of $g^{-1}(2)$. [Sentence form, of course.]
	In this model, of (2) gives the year that
	the orgulation of arizone will have I million
	Alogle.
	(f) (3 pts) According to the model above, in what year was the population of Michigan 5 million people? [Show work and express answer in sentence form.]
	to 2 7 m f 5 0 8/1 - co t - 5 - (100 f)
- 768	4 as t ln (1.005) = ln(\frac{1}{28}) - t = ln(\frac{5}{26}).
1883.1	In (nose)
·	
	and way to this model the Dan I I to
	(UChi)an 2000 5 million geogle in the year
	1883!