2. A new company produces and sells socks. By far their most successful item is the business sock (that's why they call them business socks), and the company hires a young consultant to assess the impact of advertising this popular product. Let $S$ denote the yearly sales revenue, in thousands of dollars, and $a$ denote the annual advertising expenditure, also in thousands of dollars. The company assumes that sales revenue will depend on advertising, so we write $S=f(a)$.
(a) (2 points) What does the company hope is true about the sign of $f^{\prime}$ ? Explain.

It would be reasonable for the company to expect $f^{\prime}>0$, since this simply means that they sell more socks as they spend more on advertising.
(b) (2 points) The consultant suggests that $\lim _{a \rightarrow \infty} f^{\prime}(a)=0$. Is this reasonable? Why or why not?

This is a reasonable assumption, since eventually all the people potentially interested in purchasing business socks will have seen an advertisement; putting more money in at that point doesn't change the situation much. In the language of economics, marginal revenue is decreasing. [Note: other answers are accepted-with reasonable justification.]
(c) The consultant makes the following statements. Interpret her observations in practical terms. Do not use the word "rate"!
i. (3 points) $f(0)=3$

Even if the company doesn't spend any money on advertising, they will sell $\$ 3000$ worth of business socks per year.
ii. (3 points) $f^{\prime}(0)=4$

If the company spends $\$ 1000$ on advertising, their sales revenue should increase by approximately $\$ 4000$.
iii. (3 points) $f^{-1}(6.6)=1$

If the company spends $\$ 1000$ on advertising, the sales revenue will be $\$ 6600$.
iv. (3 points) $\left(f^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(6.6)=0.31$

When the company's annual sales revenue is $\$ 6600$, increasing the sales revenue to $\$ 7600$ will require increasing spending on advertising by approximately $\$ 310$.

